Monitoring the numbers and productivity of Tundra Swans in relation to potential natural gas development in the Mackenzie River Delta, Western Canadian Arctic, 2001-2003

Heather A. Swystun, James E. Hines, and Russell D. Dawson

Canadian Wildlife Service
Environmental Conservation Branch

Technical Report Series Number 438

Environment Canada
Environnement Canada

Canadian Wildlife Service
Service canadien de la faune

Canada

105-06251/

TECHNICAL REPORT SERIES

CANADIAN WILDLIFE SERVICE

This series of reports, established in 1986, contains technical and scientific information from projects of the Canadian Wildlife Service. The reports are intended to make available material that either is of interest to a limited audience or is too extensive to be accommodated in scientific journals or in existing CWS series.

Demand for these Technical Reports is usually confined to specialists in the fields concerned. Consequently, they are produced regionally and in small quantities; they can be obtained only from the address given on the back of the title page. However, they are numbered nationally. The recommended citation appears on the title page.

Technical Reports are available in CWS libraries and are listed in the catalogue of the National Library of Canada in scientific libraries across Canada. They are printed in the official language chosen by the author to meet the language preference of the likely audience, with a résumé in the second official language. **To determine whether there is significant demand for making the reports available in the second official language, CWS invites users to specify their official language preference. Requests for Technical Reports in the second official language should be sent to the address on the back of the title page.**

SÉRIE DE RAPPORTS TECHNIQUES DU SERVICE CANADIEN DE LA FAUNE

Cette série de rapports donnant des informations scientifiques et techniques sur les projets du Service canadien de la faune (SCF) a démarré en 1986. L'objet de ces rapports est de promouvoir la diffusion d'études s'adressant à un public restreint ou trop volumineuses pour paraître dans une revue scientifique ou l'une des séries du SCF.

Ordinairement, seuls les spécialistes des sujets traités demandent ces rapports techniques. Ces documents ne sont donc produits qu'à l'échelon régional et en quantités limitées; ils ne peuvent être obtenus qu'à l'adresse figurant au dos de la page titre. Cependant, leur numérotage est effectué à l'échelle nationale. La citation recommandée apparaît à la page titre.

Ces rapports se trouvent dans les bibliothèques du SCF et figurent aussi dans la liste de la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada utilisée dans les principales bibliothèques scientifiques du Canada. Ils sont publiés dans la langue officielle choisie par l'auteur en fonction du public visé, avec un résumé dans la deuxième langue officielle. **En vue de déterminer si la demande est suffisamment importante pour produire ces rapports dans la deuxième langue officielle, le SCF invite les usagers à lui indiquer leur langue officielle préferée. Il faut envoyer les demandes de rapports techniques dans la deuxième langue officielle à l'adresse indiquée au verso de la page titre.**

Over 50% recycled paper including 10% post-consumer fibre.

Monitoring the numbers and productivity of Tundra Swans in relation to potential natural gas development in the Mackenzie River Delta, Western Canadian Arctic, 2001-2003

Heather A. Swystun
James E. Hines
Russell D. Dawson

Technical Report Series No. 438
Canadian Wildlife Service
Prairie and Northern Region

March 2005

This report may be cited as:
Swystun, H. A., J. E. Hines, and R. D. Dawson. 2005.
Monitoring the numbers and productivity of Tundra Swans
in relation to potential natural gas development in the Mackenzie
River Delta, Western Canadian Arctic, 2001-2003.
Technical Report Series No. 438
Canadian Wildlife Service, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories.

Published under the Authority of the
Minister of Environment
Canadian Wildlife Service

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by
the Minister of Environment, 2005.
Catalogue No. CW69-5/438E
ISBN 0-662-40452-1

Copies may be obtained from:

Canadian Wildlife Service
Environmental Conservation Branch
Suite 301, 5204-50th Ave.
Yellowknife, Northwest Territories
X1A 1E2

ABSTRACT

The Mackenzie Delta region of the Northwest Territories is one of the most important breeding areas for Tundra Swans (*Cygnus columbianus columbianus*) and other waterfowl in North America. From 2001-03, we monitored Tundra Swan populations and productivity as potential indicators of the cumulative effects of gas and oil development and other stressors on migratory birds in the region. In 2001, 40 monitoring plots (25 km^2) were established, with 20 in areas of known or expected oil and gas development and 20 as reference plots. By 2003, a total of 48 plots (27 reference and 21 development) were monitored. Development plots were established near exploratory well sites, existing camps, sites of recent seismic exploration, and gas fields of known importance. Plots were surveyed by helicopter to count all swans and nests in June, and a fixed-wing aircraft was used to repeat surveys in August to count all adult swans and young present. We found no significant differences between "reference" and "development" plots for any of the following variables in either June or August surveys: number of adults, number of indicated pairs, and number of nests or broods. Numbers of flocked non-breeders appeared to be more abundant in development plots than reference plots, however, this result was inconclusive statistically. There was no significant difference in the total number of young produced in different plot types. Comparisons of three annual indices of the per capita reproductive effort and success of Tundra Swans between reference and development plots suggested that both nesting success (broods per nesting pair) and overall productivity (broods per indicated pair) were higher in reference than development plots. Nesting effort (nests per indicated pair) did not differ between plot types suggesting that any possible development-reference differences were the result of differences in nest success. Significant geographic variation in the indices of reproductive success was observed with lower productivity in the Mackenzie Delta and vicinity where development plots were most highly concentrated. Increased sampling effort and inclusion of more reference plots within the Mackenzie Delta proper should allow separation of the possible effects of development from geographic variations in nesting success on swan productivity.

RÉSUMÉ

Le delta du Mackenzie (Territoires du Nord-Ouest), est l'une des plus importantes zones de reproduction des populations de cygne siffleur (*Cygnus columbianus columbianus*) et autres espèces d'oiseaux aquatiques d'Amérique du Nord. Au cours de la période co prise entre 2001 et 2003, on a observé les effectifs et la productivité du cygne siffleur en tant qu'indicateurs des effets cumulatifs de l'aménagement pétrolier et gazier et autres facteurs susceptibles de perturber les populations d'oiseaux migrateurs qui fréquentent le delta du Mackenzie. En 2001, 40 sites d'observation (25 km^2) ont été établis, soit 20 en tant que sites actuels ou potentiels d'activité d'aménagement pétrolier et gazier, et 20 en tant que sites de référence. En 2003, 48 sites au total (27 sites de référence et 21 sites d'activité d'aménagement) étaient sous observation. Les sites dits « d'activité d'aménagement » étaient situés à proximité d'activités de forage exploratoire, de camps d'exploration, de sites récents d'exploration sismique et de champs gaziers d'intérêt connu. Les sites d'observation ont été recensés par hélicoptère en juin afin d'établir le nombre de cygnes et de nids présents, et un second recensement a été effectué par avion (voilure fixe) en août afin de dénombrer les effectifs de cygnes adultes et de cygnes juvéniles. Aucune différence notable n'a été trouvée entre les sites de référence et les sites d'aménagement, s'agissant des variables ci-après au cours de la période comprise entre juin et août : nombre d'adultes, nombre d'équivalents-couples, nombre de nids ou de couvées. L'effectif des bandes de non-reproducteurs semble être plus nombreux dans les sites d'activité d'aménagement que dans les sites de référence, mais cette observation n'est pas statistiquement concluante. On n'a pas observé de différence notable dans la progéniture des divers types de sites observés. Une étude comparative (sites d'activité d'aménagement vs sites de référence) portant sur trois indicateurs annuels du taux de succès des efforts de reproduction des cygnes siffleurs montre que le succès de reproduction (couvées par couple reproducteur) et la productivité générale (couvée par équivalent-couple) étaient plus élevés dans les sites de référence que dans les sites d'activité d'aménagement. Les efforts de reproduction (nids par équivalent-couple) ayant été les mêmes quelque soit le type de site, on en déduit que les écarts observés seraient liés aux variations du succès de reproduction. Des variations géographiques notables ont été observées dans les indicateurs du succès de reproduction, les taux de productivité les

plus faibles étant concentrés dans le delta du Mackenzie et ses environs, où les sites d'aménagement étaient les plus nombreux. Une hausse d'effort d'échantillonnage ainsi que l'ajout de sites de référence dans le delta du Mackenzie pourrais permettre de distinguer les impacts attribuables aux activités d'aménagement de ceux attribuables aux variations géographiques, s'agissant du succès de reproduction et de la productivité du cygne siffleur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out as a collaborative project between the Canadian Wildlife Service (Environment Canada) and the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC). Funding for the surveys was provided by the Canadian Wildlife Service, Polar Continental Shelf Project (Natural Resources Canada), Northern Ecosystem Initiative (Environment Canada), Environmental Impact Assessment Funding (Environment Canada), the Cumulative Impact Monitoring Program (Indian and Northern Affairs Canada), and implementation funding associated with the Inuvialuit Final Agreement. Additional support for other aspects of the project (including Heather Swystun's graduate program) was provided by Wildlife Habitat Canada, the Institute for Wetland and Waterfowl Research (Ducks Unlimited Canada), the Mountain Equipment Coop Environment Fund, a Northwest Territories Scholarship, the Gwich'in Renewable Resources Board, Parks Canada - Western Arctic Field Unit, NWT Millennium Scholarship (NWT Department of Education, Culture, and Employment), the Northern Scientific Training Program (Indian and Northern Affairs Canada), and funding related to the Inuvialuit Final Agreement. We thank all the partners who generously supported this project.

We also thank the Wildlife Management Advisory Councils and Hunters and Trappers Committees in the Inuvialuit Settlement Area for their support, staff of the Northwest Territories Department of Resources, Wildlife, and Economic Development for help with mapping data, pilot/biologist Rod King and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for his many hours of flying and observation during the August surveys, Charles Klengenberg and Rod Brook for acting as observers, and keen-eyed field assistant/observer Douglas Esogak of Inuvik, Northwest Territories for assistance during the majority of surveys and other fieldwork.

Wes Newton and Terry Schaffer of the US Geological Service offered statistical advice, Bonnie Fournier provided advice with the figures, and Cindy Swoboda reviewed the manuscript.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT vi

RÉSUMÉ vii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ix

TABLE OF CONTENTS x

LIST OF TABLES xi

LIST OF FIGURES xii

LIST OF APPENDICES xiii

1. INTRODUCTION 1
2. METHODS 3
   - 2.1 Study area 3
   - 2.2 Survey methods and selection of study plots 4
   - 2.3 June breeding and August productivity surveys 5
   - 2.4 Data analysis 6
3. RESULTS 8
   - 3.1 June breeding surveys 8
   - 3.2 August productivity surveys 9
   - 3.3 Per capita reproductive success 10
   - 3.4 Geographic variation in reproductive success 10
4. DISCUSSION 11
5. LITERATURE CITED 14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Analysis of variance evaluating the effect of plot type, year, and plot type x year interaction on the number of Tundra Swan adults, pairs, nests, broods, and young present in reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003. Tests were carried out on both untransformed and log transformed data. Places where the results of the two tests differed are highlighted in bold. In those instances, the data that better met the assumptions of the ANOVA (underlined) were used to interpret the result. 17

Table 2. Estimated numbers and densities of adult Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta, June 2001-2003 18

Table 3. Numbers and densities of indicated pairs of Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003. 19

Table 4. Numbers and densities of flocked adult Tundra Swans occurring in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003. 20

Table 5. Estimated numbers and densities of Tundra Swan nests in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003. 21

Table 6. Estimated numbers and densities of adult Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, August 2001-2003. 22

Table 7. Numbers and densities of indicated pairs of Tundra Swan in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August 2001-2003. 23

Table 8. Numbers and densities of flocked adult Tundra Swans occurring in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August 2001-2003. 24

Table 9. The number of adult and young Tundra Swans in reference and development survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August, 2001-2003 25

Table 10. Estimated productivity of Tundra Swans in reference and development survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003. 26

Table 11. Potential causes of variation in reproductive effort and success of Tundra Swans at reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003, evaluated through three-dimensional contingency tables. 27

Table 12. Precision of untransformed and transformed (log+1) counts of total adults, indicated pairs, nests, flocked adults, broods, and young Tundra Swans in reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003 28

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Location of 5 x 5 km study plots for Tundra Swan in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-03. 29

Figure 2. The proportion of Tundra Swan indicated pairs nesting (nesting effort) in reference and development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.) 30

Figure 3. The proportion of Tundra Swan nesting pairs that succeeded in producing a brood (nest success) in reference or development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.) 31

Figure 4. The proportion of Tundra Swan indicated pairs that succeeded in producing a brood (productivity) in reference and development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.) 32

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Criteria used in selecting development study plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03 33

Appendix B. Map of criteria used in selecting reference and development study plots for investigating cumulative impacts of natural gas development on Tundra Swans in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-2003 34

Appendix C. Results by plot type and year of Shapiro Wilk W Tests for the normality of swan count data in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03. P-values of 0.05 or less represent significant departures from a normal distribution. Tests for both untransformed (raw) and transformed (log+1) data are presented 35

Appendix D. Results of Levene's Test for homogeneity of variances of swan count data in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03. P-values of 0.05 or less indicate that variances of the six plot-year combinations considered were not all equal. Tests for both untransformed and transformed (log+1) data are presented. 36

1. INTRODUCTION

The Mackenzie Delta is one of the most important breeding areas for ducks, geese, and swans in North America (Bellrose 1980). The Delta is also home to two aboriginal groups with settled land claims: the Inuvialuit and the Gwich'in. Waterfowl are an important food source and harvest of renewable resources forms a vital part of the cultures of these people. Maintenance of harvestable populations of wildlife and protection of the environment form a fundamental part of the land claim agreements of both the Inuvialuit and Gwich'in (Committee for Original Peoples Entitlement 1984, Indian and Northern Affairs and Gwich'in Nation 1992).

Planned developments to extract the vast natural gas reserves underlying the Mackenzie Delta (Brackman 2000, Chandler 2004) have the potential to cause long-term degradation of the delta ecosystem (including habitat in the Kendall Island Bird Sanctuary) and reductions in numbers and productivity of migratory birds (Murphy and Anderson 1993, Ritchie and King 2000, Ritchie et al. 2002). Gas and oil development could impact migratory bird populations in several different ways including: (1) habitat loss or alteration; (2) pollution; (3) development-induced changes in predator numbers and movement patterns; (4) disturbance from development, increased transport, and greater access by humans (Murphy and Anderson 1993, Ritchie and King 2000, Ritchie et al. 2002); and (5) increased collisions with tall structures such as communication towers, power-lines, and other oil field structures (Ritchie and King 2000, Erickson et al. 2001, Collins 2002).

Evaluating the cumulative impacts of development on most species of waterfowl or other northern wildlife is difficult because many species are inherently difficult to study and most populations show much natural variability in numbers and reproductive success which detracts from our ability to detect longer term trends. In addition, numbers of migratory birds are potentially influenced by a variety of events and stressors throughout their migratory range that will make even fairly significant industrial impacts on bird populations difficult to detect. Most studies will necessarily conclude that no environmental impacts have occurred. Suitable study species, experimental design, and

adequate sample sizes are required to determine impacts of industrial development on waterfowl and other migratory bird populations (Anderson et al. 1999).

At present, there are no detailed programs in place that would allow us to evaluate the long-term impacts of development on the migratory birds of the region. Thus, the goal of this investigation is to evaluate the impacts of gas development on aquatic birds in the Kendall Island Bird Sanctuary and neighboring parts of the Mackenzie Delta using Tundra Swans as a potential "indicator" species. Adult Tundra Swans are large white birds, live in open habitats, and are easily spotted from the air. Because of their visibility, they are one of the most reliable waterfowl species with which to conduct aerial surveys and, once located, complete counts of young are readily obtained. Tundra Swans are widely distributed throughout the Mackenzie Delta (Hines et al. 2005) and their populations and productivity can be monitored in a simple and cost-effective manner (King 1973, Spindler and Hall 1991). Factors affecting reproductive success of Tundra Swans, including weather conditions on the breeding grounds (Lensink 1973, Spindler and Hall 1991) and human disturbance (Scott 1977, Hawkins 1986, Murphy and Anderson 1993), make this species a potentially useful indicator of the cumulative effects of industrial development, climate change, and other stressors impacting the Mackenzie Delta ecosystem.

In 2001-2003, complete aerial counts of swans were carried out during mid-June (nesting) and early August (brood-rearing) in a number of study plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region. Plots were established in 21 treatment or development areas (where gas exploration activities had occurred) and 27 control or reference areas (Figure 1). The main long-term objective of the work is to assess the numbers and reproductive success of Tundra Swans at plots of the two types in the Mackenzie Delta region and, by extension, evaluate the potential effects of proposed development on migratory bird populations. This report describes baseline population parameters for Tundra Swans as they existed in 2001-03, evaluates whether any impacts of development have been so far detected, and presents recommendations for future work.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study area

A 24,185 km^2 area which included much of the important wetland area in and around the Mackenzie Delta was chosen as a study area (Figure 1). The study area is located near the tree line, and both tundra (near the Beaufort Sea) and taiga communities (further inland) are present. The Mackenzie Delta proper covers an area of nearly 15,000 km^2 and is truly a land of lakes. Nowhere else in Canada is there an area of this size with so many lakes, which number in the thousands (Mackay 1963). Delta lakes can be classified into five broad types: abandoned channel lakes; point bar lakes; floodplain lakes; and thermokarst lakes (Mackay 1963). The distribution and types of vegetation present within the Delta are greatly influenced by flooding, sedimentation, and the presence of permafrost. Mackay (1963) recognized six general vegetation regions described as tundra; tundra with scrub willow and ground birch; scrub willow and ground birch; woodland and tundra with much scrub willow and ground birch; open woodland; and continuous woodland.

The Mackenzie Delta is the largest river delta in Canada and one of the most important habitat sites for migratory birds in the North. More than 1% of the national population of at least 20 different species of birds is present in the Delta some time during the spring-fall season each year (Latour et al. 2005) and about one-third of the Eastern Population of Tundra Swans summers in the general region (Hines et al. 2005). Some of the most important habitat for migratory birds occurs at the 623 km^2 Kendall Island Bird Sanctuary in the outer Mackenzie Delta (Alexander et al. 1991, Latour et al. 2005).

Extensive petroleum exploration occurred in the region from the late 1960s through the mid-1980s and led to the discovery of several large gas and oil fields with total volumes of gas estimated to be in the trillions of cubic meters (Morrell 1995, Janicki 2001). Interest in hydrocarbon exploration, in the region and other parts of the North, fell with oil prices in the mid-1980s but increased dramatically in the late 1990s and has remained high since. Evidence of the earlier period of exploration remains visible in the form of seismic lines, permanent camps, pilings that supported drilling platforms and

other infrastructure, drilling sumps, capped wells, and discarded materials. More recent exploration is evident from seismic disturbance, permanent camps, drilling platform mounds, capped wells, and some refuse. Detailed descriptions of the Mackenzie Delta can be found in the following reports and numerous references cited therein: physical environment (Mackay 1963, Rampton 1988), flora (Mackay 1963, Corns 1974, Pearce 1986), and fauna (Martell 1984).

2.2 Survey methods and selection of study plots

As a survey method, we chose to carry out total counts of swans in 5 x 5-km sample plots. The size and number of plots surveyed reflected a trade-off among statistical concerns, logistic limitations, and financial realities. Knowledge of the population densities of swans in the region (Hines et al. 2005) and the expected effort required to survey areas of different sizes (R. King, pers. comm.) helped in developing the study design. Two surveys were carried out each year: one count in June to determine numbers of territorial and nesting pairs, and a second count in August to determine the number of broods and total young produced. Counts of adult swans were categorized by their social status: single, paired, nesting, brood-rearing, or flocked (non-breeding).

In 2001, 20 plots (each 25 km^2 in area) were established within the study area at treatment or development sites and an additional 20 randomly placed plots were established at control or reference sites (Figure 1). In 2002, six new randomly located reference plots were added to the sampling program and, in 2003, two additional development plots and one reference plot were added. The latter reference plot was not randomly placed. Instead, it was deliberately established in the Kendall Island Bird Sanctuary where we had two development plots but no reference plots. By chance, most of the randomly selected reference plots had fallen outside the zone of greatest potential industrial development within the Mackenzie Delta. The maximum area sampled in any year (48 plots in 2003 or 1200 km^2) represented 5% of the total study area.

Active gas exploration when the study began in 2001 was primarily high intensity ("3-D") seismic exploration and the possible locations of new well sites or other new

facilities and infrastructure were unknown. Therefore, development plots were selected near exploratory well sites established in the 1970s and 1980s, existing camps, sites of recent 3-D seismic exploration, and gas fields of known importance (Appendix A, B).

2.3 June breeding and August productivity surveys

Aerial counts of swans were carried out in June and August 2001-2003. Timing of the June breeding surveys corresponded to early incubation (11-19 June) and timing of the August productivity surveys corresponded to early to mid brood rearing (3-11 August) when most young were three to four weeks old.

Aerial survey methods were adapted from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service Trumpeter and Tundra Swan survey protocol (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991) and the standing operating procedures established for breeding ground surveys of waterfowl in North America (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Canadian Wildlife Service 1987) as modified for helicopter surveys by Hines et al. (2005). A Bell 206 helicopter on floats was used to carry out complete counts of swans along five, 1-km wide north/south transects within each of the 5 x 5 km study plots. With the aid of a laptop computer and "moving map" software, the pilot navigated along the transect line and maintained the helicopter at a ground speed of 80 km/hr or slower and a flight height of 115 m above ground. Two observers, one seated in the left front seat and the other seated in the right rear seat, recorded the number of swans and their locations on 1:60,000 scale satellite images of the study plot. The exact location of each nest was determined using a global positioning system. Each observation was recorded as a single, a pair, a single on a nest, a pair on a nest, or a flock (e.g. ≥ 3 swans judged to be within sight of each other) (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991). During the breeding season, the two members of a swan pair are not always found together. Therefore, pairs were estimated and referred to as indicated pairs by summing all sightings of one or two swans and then dividing by two (Wilk 1988). This method allows us to compare our results to historical surveys of Tundra Swans in the Mackenzie Delta. Throughout this paper we also refer to "nesting pairs" which equaled the number of nests found.

With the exception of the type of aircraft used, productivity surveys were conducted in a similar way to breeding surveys. In the August surveys, a float-equipped

Cessna 207 fixed-wing aircraft was flown at 135 -155 km/hr and 115 m above ground. The pilot was responsible for observations on the left side of the aircraft and a second observer carried out observations on the right side of the aircraft and assisted in navigation. During the brood-rearing period, swans are invariably located on or near water. Therefore, in plots with few ponds or lakes, actual transects were not followed. Instead, the plot was surveyed in the most efficient manner with observers focusing their attention on the few water bodies present but still covering the entire plot.

2.4 Data analysis

The total number of adult swans, indicated pairs, adults in flocks, nests, broods, and total young were determined for each plot/survey combination. To test for possible violations of the assumptions of parametric tests, the summarized plot data were assessed for normality using Shapiro-Wilk W Test (Sall 2001) (Appendix C), and homogeneity of variances using Levene's test (Tabachnick and Fidell 2001) (Appendix D). A few potential outliers (large counts of flocked swans) were identified (Grubbs 1969); however, we felt that all the counts were an important and valid part of the samples and chose to retain all data in the analyses. Tests were carried out on both untransformed and log transformed data. Where the results of the two tests differed the data that better met the assumptions of the ANOVA were used to interpret the result. Mean estimates and standard errors were calculated using untransformed data, however, median values should also be considered when interpreting results. We used the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS statistical software to conduct a repeated measures ANOVA on the data (Littell et al. 1996). This analysis evaluated potential differences in the count data between plot types and among years, and it took into account the fact that new plots were added to the sampling program in 2002 and 2003, making the experimental design unbalanced.

Of the 9 variables, two plot types, and three years analyzed only 7 of the 54 samples were considered normal without transformation (Appendix C). Logarithmic transformation successfully normalized 29 of the 54 possible samples and the transformed data invariably showed better fit to the data than the untransformed data. We continued using parametric analyses recognizing that ANOVA tends to be robust to minor departures from normality (Sokal and Rohlf 1981: 401,407). We used Levene's

test for homogeneity of variances to help determine whether to use untransformed or log transformed data in the repeated measures analysis (Appendix D). We determined that the equality of variance assumption was met in all cases for untransformed data except for August counts of total adult swans, young swans, and adult swans in flocks. After transformation, "August adults in flocks" still did not meet the assumption of equal variances. For Levene's test, we considered P-value=0.025 as moderate inequality and P-value=0.01 as severe inequality of variances (Tabachnick and Fidell 2001). Based on those criteria, the "number of adults in August flocks" was considered to moderately violate the equality of variances assumption of ANOVA.

We obtained three annual indices of the per capita reproductive effort and success of Tundra Swans in reference and development plots: (1) nesting effort - the proportion of indicated pairs nesting; (2) nest success - the proportion nesting pairs successful in producing a brood (Ritchie et al. 2002); and (3) productivity - the proportion of indicated pairs producing a brood. Logit analyses of three-dimensional contingency tables (PROC CATMOD in SAS statistical software, Allison 1999) were used to determine if any of these indices differed by year or treatment type. In the brood success analysis, indicated pairs with broods were designated as successful whereas indicated pairs without broods were designated as unsuccessful. Similarly, the proportion of successful and unsuccessful nests was calculated using the number of broods present in the August surveys and the number of nests present in the June surveys. Standard errors for the proportion of pairs nesting, the proportion of pairs raising a brood, and proportion of nests successful were calculated assuming a binomial distribution (Sokal and Rohlf 1981:77).

3. RESULTS

To simplify the description of the results, we have summarized the repeated measures analysis of variance tests in Table 1. In the text, differences in counts of swans between reference and development plots or among years are stated as being "significant" or "statistically significant" when the associated P-value (shown in Table 1) was 0.05 or less. The actual statistical tests were done using the summarized count data for each plot although, for the sake of convenience, we also refer to significant differences in population densities. Results of tests on both untransformed and transformed data are presented and, with a few exceptions, show high agreement. In the few circumstances where tests on untransformed and transformed results differ, the transformed results should be used.

3.1 June breeding surveys

In June, the average density of Tundra Swans in study plots was 0.93 ± SE 0.14 swans/km^2 (Table 2) and, despite some substantial differences in mean numbers (0.69 ± 0.10 SE for reference plots and 1.22 ± 0.28 SE for development plots), counts of adults in June did not differ significantly between plot types or among years and no interaction effect was observed (Table 1). Differences can be attributed to large counts of flocked non-breeding swans in a few plots. Medians for reference (14 swans, range 1-165) and development plots (15 swans, range 1-306) were similar and help explain the statistical test results.

Mean densities of indicated pairs were remarkably consistent regardless of plot type or year surveyed (Table 3) and showed no evidence of statistically significant variation (Table 1). The average density of indicated pairs over all years and plot types was 0.28 ± SE 0.02 swans/km^2.

Flocked non-breeders averaged 41% of adult swans observed in June (Table 2) and appeared to be much more abundant in development than reference plots (Table 4). Despite the rather large difference in mean estimates between reference and development plots, we detected no statistically significant effect of either plot type or year on numbers of flocked swans present (Table 1).

Nest densities averaged 0.11± SE 0.01 nests/km^2 and the number of nests ranged from 0 to 15 nests per plot (Table 5). Number of nests did not differ significantly between plot types or among years.

3.2 August productivity surveys

In August, the average density of adult swans in study plots was 0.81 ± SE 0.12 swans/km^2 (Table 6). Although average densities appeared to be much higher in development plots (1.15 ± SE 0.25 swans/km^2) than reference plots (0.53 ± SE 0.05 swans/km^2), this difference was not statistically significant (Table 1, Table 6). Again, much of the difference between plot types can be explained by large flocks of swans in a few plots. Median values for reference (12 swans, range 0-58) and development plots (8 swans, range 0-196) help explain these results.

Densities of indicated pairs averaged 0.18 ± SE 0.01 /km^2 overall and were constant between plot types and among years with no interaction effect (Table 1, Table 7).

Flocked non-breeders averaged 57% of the adult swans observed during August surveys including an average of 30% of the adults in reference plots and 71% of the adults in development plots (Table 6, Table 8). The large difference in densities of flocked adult swans in the two plot types (0.2 swans/km^2 in reference plots vs. 0.8 adult swans/km^2 in development plots) was statistically significant for the untransformed data but not for the transformed data. The assumption of equal variances was not met for either untransformed or transformed samples (Appendix D). Due to large counts of swans in only a few plots, the results are inconclusive; however, mean estimates suggest that there might be more flocked non-breeding swans in development plots than reference plots.

Estimates of the number of broods and total young produced were slightly higher in reference than development plots but this difference was not statistically significant. Possible annual variation was observed in the number of broods produced and annual variation in the total number of young produced was significant (Tables 1, 9, 10).

3.3 Per capita reproductive success

We obtained three annual indices of the per capita reproductive effort and success of Tundra Swans in reference and development plots: (1) nesting effort - the proportion of indicated pairs nesting; (2) nest success - the proportion of nesting pairs successful in producing a brood; and (3) productivity - the proportion of indicated pairs producing a brood. Analyses of these indices using multi-dimensional contingency tables indicated that both nest success and productivity were higher in reference than development plots (Table 10, 11). The analysis also suggested that there was significant annual variation in productivity but not in nest success (Table 11). In contrast, we found no statistically significant evidence that nesting effort differed between plot types although this variable did differ significantly among years (Table 11).

3.4 Geographic variation in reproductive success

Inspection of the summarized data suggested some large differences in the number of pairs, nests, and broods from plot to plot. We wanted to find out if such regional variations in reproductive success (specifically low productivity in Mackenzie Delta region where development plots were most highly concentrated) could lead to incorrect conclusions about the impacts of development on swans. In an effort to visualize if or how such variation might influence the results, we plotted relative values (low, medium, high) of three demographic parameters (nesting effort - nesting pair/indicated pair, nest success - broods/nesting pair, and productivity - broods/indicated pair) on maps of the study area (Figures 2, 3, and 4). Values for each demographic parameter were designated as "low" if they were among the lowest 25% of all such values recorded, high if they were in the upper 25%, and medium (all other values). Plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs of swans over the three-year study period were excluded from the analysis because sample sizes were considered inadequate for calculating average values for the different demographic parameters. The resulting maps suggested possible geographic patterns of reproductive success – productivity and nest success were low to moderate in the Mackenzie Delta proper but higher away from the

Delta. Nesting effort, as indexed by nests per indicated pair, showed no immediately obvious geographic pattern.

4. DISCUSSION

The primary objectives of this report were to present baseline information on the numbers and reproductive success of Tundra Swans in the Mackenzie Delta region, and evaluate if impacts of past and recent natural gas exploration could be detected using swan numbers or productivity as indicators. To address these objectives, we carried out complete counts of Tundra Swans over three years in reference and development plots within the region. As noted previously, swans are potentially useful waterfowl for studying cumulative effects because reliable counts can be carried out throughout the breeding season and swans are known to be sensitive to some forms of disturbance. Given what we now know about the logistics and fiscal realities of carrying out surveys of Tundra Swans in the region, an important question is "Will the samples such as those collected in 2001-03 be adequate to detect actual population changes in population size induced by development or other stressors"?

A possible bias detected in our data was the influence of a few large counts of flocked non-breeding swans on overall estimates of adult numbers. Log transformation of the count data helped overcome this problem by improving distributions, reducing variances, and improving the precision of the estimates. One measure of the suitability of a population estimate for detecting trends is the coefficient-of-variation (CV), calculated as the ratio of the standard error to the mean. CVs for several of the population estimates of swans, particularly the transformed ones (median of 8%, range 3-26%), were as low as those obtained during helicopter transect surveys in the Western Canadian Arctic (Table 12). Using transect surveys repeated over four years, Hines et al. (2005) reported CVs of 0.04 to 0.08 for the two best surveyed species of waterfowl (Tundra Swans and Greater White-fronted Geese *Anser albifrons*). Precision of those surveys was deemed adequate to detect changes in numbers of 20% or less. It is expected that similar degrees of population change should be detectable for Tundra Swans from the plot survey data using the log-transformed counts of adults and indicated pairs in June and August, and nests in June, as CVs for these variables were all < 8%.

Our counts of Tundra Swans indicated no significant differences in average densities of adults, pairs, nests, broods, or young between reference and development plots. In contrast, estimates of per capita reproductive success suggested swans were less successful in development than reference plots. The results of the two analyses seem logically incompatible (similar densities of breeding adults and young produced should reflect similar reproductive success in the two plot types). Increased sampling and further evaluation of the data might be needed to determine if the possible "development effect" is real.

We noted substantial inter-plot differences and potential geographic variation in nesting effort and reproductive success of Tundra Swans. Most development plots occurred in the Mackenzie Delta so we were concerned that the low reproductive success in development plots indicated by some analyses could reflect geographical variation in productivity rather than actual impacts of development per se. A preliminary assessment of the mapped data suggested that low productivity was not just limited to the Mackenzie Delta and lends support to the hypothesis of development-induced impacts. Obviously, there are other factors which might influence these patterns, such as regional variations in weather, habitat, and predator abundance and further investigation is required.

Nest success appeared to be a factor influencing geographic variability in productivity and the potential reference/development differences in reproductive success. Development could influence migratory bird populations through reduced nest success (Truett and Johnson 2000), thus factors influencing nesting success need to be evaluated. We also note that although brood/nesting pair ratios have been used as an indicator of nest success (Ritchie and King 2000), this variable really captures two different demographic processes: nest success (the percent of nests that hatch) and loss of entire broods (which typically occurs within a few days of hatching in other waterfowl species). Both variables have been shown to play a role in the demography of waterfowl populations and ideally need to be separately evaluated.

Despite the statistical inconclusiveness of the results to date, the findings are informative. Particularly, the data suggest that reproductive success of swans within the Mackenzie Delta is lower than in the surrounding area. Whether this reflects the large amount of petroleum exploration and other human activity in the Delta or intrinsic natural

differences between the Delta and surrounding areas is not clear. Intensified sampling within the Mackenzie Delta proper and possible stratification of the study area to include more reference plots in the Delta would be valuable.

This study was designed before the exact locations of well sites were well documented. Even if pipeline development goes ahead it is likely that a few of the plots selected as potential development sites in our study will not be developed and could ultimately become reference plots. Similarly, some reference plots may ultimately be developed, although, it is believed that this is less likely to occur over the short term because most reference plots were well outside of lands available for gas exploration.

The approach we have taken in comparisons of data from survey plots has been termed an "Impact-Reference Design" (Anderson et al. 1999:26) and is used in assessments made after potential impacts have already occurred. By adding new plots in development areas to enhance samples sizes, the data collected might also prove to be equally well suited to other study designs as well including the "Before-After/Control-Impact" design (wherein reference and treatment study plots are established prior to development) and the "Impact-Gradient Design" which involves looking at impact indicators (e.g., swan distribution, numbers, or reproductive success) at different distances from the source of impact (Anderson et al. 1999). We believe the study design potentially lends itself well to evaluating impacts by all three approaches.

The results also demonstrate the possible importance of the Mackenzie Delta to flocked non-breeding swans that represent 56% (June) and 71% (August) of total population estimates in development sites. Areas of past or potential development appear to have significantly large numbers of flocked non-breeding swans occurring along point bars of potential transportation channels. Although this study design does not lend itself well to monitoring flocked non-breeding swans, these large gatherings of Tundra Swans may warrant additional monitoring should development activity increase throughout the main channels of the delta.

5. LITERATURE CITED

Alexander, S.A., R.S. Ferguson, and K.J. McCormick. 1991. Key migratory bird terrestrial habitat sites in the Northwest Territories. Occasional Paper No. 71, Canadian Wildlife Service, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. 182 pp.

Allison, P.D. 1999. Logistic regression using the SAS system: theory and application. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina. 304 pp.

Anderson, R., M. Morrison, K. Sinclair, and D. Strickland. 1999. Studying wind energy/bird interactions: a guidance document – Metrics and methods for determining or monitoring potential impacts on birds at existing and proposed wind energy sites. Prepared for the Avian Subcommittee and National Wind Coordinating Committee. Washington, D.C. 88 pp.

Bellrose, F.C. 1980. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America. 3rd ed. Stackpole Books, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. 544 pp.

Brackman, C. 2000. The Northwest Territories petroleum industry. Northwest Territories Department of Resources, Wildlife and Economic Development, Minerals, Oil, and Gas Division. Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. 18 pp.

Chandler, G. 2004. Back to the Beaufort. Far North Oil and Gas 6:25-31.

Collins, R. 2002. Sex differences in the movements and mortality of Mute Swans. Proceedings of the Fourth International Swan Symposium. Waterbirds 25, Special Publication 1:157-161.

Committee for Original Peoples Entitlement. 1984. The western Arctic claim: The Inuvialuit Final Agreement. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. 159 pp.

Corns, I.G.W. 1974. Arctic plant communities east of the Mackenzie Delta. Canadian Journal of Botany 52: 1731-1745.

Erickson, W.P., G.D. Johnson, M.D. Strickland, D.P. Young Jr., K.J. Sernka, and R.E. Good. 2001. Avian collisions with wind turbines: a summary of existing studies and comparisons of avian collision mortality in the United States. Prepared for National Wind Coordinating Committee (NWCC). Washington, D.C. 62 pp.

Grubbs, F. E. 1969. Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples. Technometrics 11: 1-21.

Hawkins, L.L. 1986. Nesting behaviour of male and female whistling swans and implications of male incubation. Wildfowl 37:5-27.

Hines, J.E., M.O. Wiebe, M.F. Kay, and S.E. Westover. 2005. Aerial surveys of Greater White-fronted Geese, Canada Geese, and Tundra Swans on the mainland of the Inuvialuit Settlement Region, Western Canadian Arctic, 1989–1993. In Surveys of geese and swans in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region, Western Canadian Arctic, 1989–2001. (J.E. Hines and M.O. Wiebe, Eds.). Occasional Paper: 112, Canadian Wildlife Service. Yellowknife, Northwest Territories.

Indian and Northern Affairs and Gwich'in Nation. 1992. Comprehensive Land Claim Agreement between her majesty the Queen in right of Canada and as represented by the Gwich'in Tribal Council. Vol. 1. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. 122 pp.

Janicki, E. 2001. A brief history of Hydrocarbon Exploration in the NWT. GNWT C.S. Lord Northern Geoscience Centre. Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. 11 pp.

King, J.G. 1973. The use of small airplanes to gather swan data in Alaska. Wildfowl 24:15-20.

Latour, P.B, J. Leger, J.E. Hines, M.L. Mallory, H.G. Gilchrist, P.A. Smith and D.L. Dickson. 2005. Key migratory bird terrestrial habitat sites in the Northwest Territories and Nunavut. Unpublished report, Canadian Wildlife Service, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. 205 pp.

Lensink, C.J. 1973. Population structure and productivity of Whistling Swans on the Yukon Delta, Alaska. Wildfowl 24:21-25.

Littell R.C., G.A. Milliken, W.W. Stroup, and R.D. Wolfinger. 1996. SAS system for mixed models. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina. 633pp.

Mackay, R.J. 1963. The Mackenzie Delta Area, N.W.T. Department of Mines and Technical Services, Geographical Branch, Memoir 8. Queen's printer and controller of stationary, Ottawa, Ontario. 202 pp.

Martell, A.M., D.M. Dickinson, and L.S. Casselman. 1984. Wildlife of the Mackenzie Delta Region. Boreal Institute for Northern Studies, University of Alberta. Occasional Publication No. 15. Edmonton, Alberta. 214 pp.

Morrell, G.R. (Editor). 1995. Petroleum exploration in northern Canada: a guide to oil and gas exploration and potential. Northern Oil and Gas Directorate Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. 110 pp.

Murphy, S.M. and B.A. Anderson. 1993. Lisburne terrestrial monitoring program: the effects of the Lisburne development project on geese and swans 1985-1989. Unpublished final report, ABR, Inc., Fairbanks, Alaska. 197 pp.

Pearce, C.M. 1986. Distribution and ecology of the shoreline vegetation on the Mackenzie Delta, NWT. Unpublished. Ph. D. thesis. Available at University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. 400 pp.

Rampton, V. 1988. Quaternary geology of the Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands, Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 423, Ottawa, Ontario.

Ritchie, R.J., and J.G. King. 2000. Tundra Swans. Pages 197-220 in J.C. Truett and S.R. Johnson, Eds. The natural history of an arctic oil field. Academic Press, New York, New York.

Ritchie, R.J., J.G. King, A.A. Stickney, B.A. Anderson, J.R. Rose, A.M. Wildman, and S. Hamilton. 2002. Population trends and productivity of Tundra Swans on the central arctic coastal plain, northern Alaska, 1989-2000. Proceedings of the Fourth International Swan Symposium Waterbirds 25, Special Publication 1:22-31.

Sall, J. 2001. JMP Statistics Guide Version 4.0.4, SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina. 315 pp.

Scott, D. 1977. Breeding behaviour of wild Whistling Swans. Wildfowl 28:101-106.

Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometry. 2nd ed. W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, California. 859 pp.

Spindler, M.A., and K.F. Hall. 1991. Local movements and habitat use of Tundra or Whistling Swans *Cygnus columbianus* in the Kobuk-Selawik lowlands of northwest Alaska. Wildfowl 42: 17-32.

Tabachnick, B.G. and L. S. Fidell. 2001. Using Multivariate Statisitics. 4th ed. Allyn & Bacon, Needham Heights, Massachusetts. 966 pp.

Truett, J.C., and S.R. Johnson (editors). 2000. The natural history of an arctic oil field: development and the biota. Academic Press, San Diego, California. 422 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Trumpeter and Tundra Swan survey protocol. Unpublished report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau, Alaska. 4 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Canadian Wildlife Service. 1987. Standard operating procedures for aerial breeding ground population and habitat surveys in North America. Unpub. manual. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Laurel, Maryland and Canadian Wildlife Service, Ottawa. 103 pp.

Wilk, R.J. 1988. Distribution, abundance, population structure and productivity of Tundra Swans in Bristol Bay, Alaska. Arctic 41: 288–292.

Table 1. Analysis of variance evaluating the effect of plot type, year, and plot type x year interaction on the number of Tundra Swan adults, pairs, nests, broods, and young present in reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003. Tests were carried out on both untransformed and log transformed data. Places where the results of the two tests differed are highlighted in bold and the results that better met the assumptions of the ANOVA are underlined.

	Effect of plot type (df = 1, 46)				Effect of year (df = 2, 82)				Effect of plot type X year (df = 2, 82			
	Untransformed		Transformed		Untransformed		Transformed		Untransformed		Transformed	
Survey	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*
June												
Total adults	1.80	0.19	0.67	0.42	0.39	0.68	0.27	0.76	0.82	0.44	1.65	0.20
Indicated pairs	0.14	0.71	0.07	0.79	0.11	0.90	0.51	0.61	0.99	0.37	1.36	0.26
Nests	0.13	0.72	0.27	0.60	2.40	0.10	1.61	0.21	2.46	0.09	1.35	0.26
Adults in flocks	2.24	0.14	1.90	0.17	0.34	0.71	0.09	0.91	0.69	0.50	0.91	0.41
August												
Total adults	3.40	0.07	0.12	0.73	0.87	0.42	1.05	0.35	0.98	0.38	1.08	0.34
Indicated pairs	0.41	0.52	0.46	0.50	1.55	0.22	1.42	0.25	0.16	0.85	0.20	0.82
Broods	1.96	0.17	1.72	0.20	**2.84**	**0.06**	**4.38**	**0.02**	2.05	0.14	0.59	0.55
Total young	1.25	0.27	1.08	0.30	**2.37**	**0.10**	**3.56**	**0.03**	2.24	0.11	0.25	0.78
Adults in flocks[a]	**5.01**	**0.03**	**0.75**	**0.39**	0.55	0.58	0.21	0.81	1.04	0.36	0.45	0.64

[a] The assumption of equality of variances was moderately violated for both untransformed and transformed data for this variable.

Table 2. Estimated numbers and densities of adult Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta, June 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Area surveyed (km^2)	Swans observed	Median swans per plot	Range	Mean swans per plot (swans ± SE)	Density (swans ± SE/km^2)	% Flocked non-breeders
Reference	2001	20	500	299	14	2-38	15.0 ± 1.9	0.60 ± 0.07	8.4
	2002	26	650	392	13	1-47	15.1 ± 2.3	0.60 ± 0.09	8.4
	2003	27	675	570	12	1-165	21.1 ± 6.2	0.84 ± 0.25	32.3
	2001-03				14	1-165	17.3 ± 2.5	0.69 ± 0.10	19.2
Development	2001	20	500	499	18	3-187	25.0 ± 8.8	1.00 ± 0.35	49.7
	2002	20	500	726	16	3-233	36.3 ± 13.3	1.45± 0.53	61.7
	2003	21	525	642	13	1-306	30.6 ± 14.1	1.22 ± 0.56	54.0
	2001-03				15	1-306	30.6 ± 7.0	1.22 ± 0.28	55.9
Total	2001	40	1000	798	15	2-187	20.0 ± 4.5	0.80 ± 0.18	34.2
	2002	46	1150	1118	14	1-233	24.3 ± 6.1	0.97 ± 0.24	43.0
	2003	48	1200	1212	13	1-306	25.3 ± 7.0	1.01 ± 0.28	43.8
	2001-03				14	1-306	23.3 ± 3.5	0.93 ± 0.14	41.1

Table 3. Numbers and densities of indicated pairs of Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Indicated pairs observed	Area surveyed (km^2)	Median pairs per plot	Range	Mean pairs per plot (pairs ± SE)	Density (pairs ± SE /km^2)
Reference	2001	20	137	500	7	1-19	6.9 ± 0.86	0.27 ± 0.03
	2002	26	179.5	650	6	1-24	6.9 ± 1.06	0.28 ± 0.04
	2003	27	193	675	6	1-30	7.1 ± 1.19	0.29 ± 0.05
	2001-03				6	1-30	7.0 ± 0.62	0.28 ± 0.02
Development	2001	20	125.5	500	6	2-13	6.3 ± 0.72	0.25 ± 0.03
	2002	20	139	500	7	2-17	7.0 ± 0.99	0.28 ± 0.04
	2003	21	147.5	525	5	1-20	7.0 ± 1.13	0.28 ± 0.05
	2001-03				6	1-20	6.8 ± 0.55	0.27 ± 0.02
Total	2001	40	262.5	1000	7	1-19	6.6 ± 0.56	0.26 ± 0.02
	2002	46	318.5	1150	6	1-24	6.9 ± 0.73	0.28 ± 0.03
	2003	48	340.5	1200	6	1-30	7.1 ± 0.83	0.28 ± 0.03
	2001-03				6	1-30	6.9 ± 0.42	0.28 ± 0.02

Table 4. Numbers and densities of flocked adult Tundra Swans occurring in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Flocked adults observed	Area surveyed (km^2)	Median flocked adults per plot	Range	Mean flocked adults per plot (swans ± SE)	Density (swans ± SE /km^2)
Reference	2001	20	25	500	0	0-16	1.3 ± 0.81	0.05 ± 0.03
	2002	26	33	650	0	0-15	1.3 ± 0.67	0.05 ± 0.03
	2003	27	184	675	0	0-154	6.8 ± 5.69	0.27 ± 0.23
	2001-03				0	0-154	3.3 ± 2.13	0.13 ± 0.09
Development	2001	20	248	500	0	0-177	12.4 ± 8.82	0.50 ± 0.35
	2002	20	448	500	0	0-200	22.4 ± 12.66	0.90 ± 0.51
	2003	21	347	525	0	0-294	16.5 ± 13.99	0.66 ± 0.56
	2001-03				0	0-294	17.1 ± 6.89	0.68 ± 0.28
Total	2001	40	273	1000	0	0-177	6.8 ± 4.46	0.27 ± 0.18
	2002	46	481	1150	0	0-200	10.5 ± 5.66	0.42 ± 0.23
	2003	48	531	1200	0	0-294	11.1 ± 6.86	0.44 ± 0.27
	2001-03				0	0-294	9.6 ± 3.38	0.38 ± 0.14

Table 5. Estimated numbers and densities of Tundra Swan nests in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, June 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Total nests	Median	Range	Mean nests per plot (nests ± SE)	Nest density (nests ± SE/km^2)
Reference	2001	20	43	2	0-10	2.2 ± 0.53	0.09 ± 0.02
	2002	26	72	2	0-15	2.8 ± 0.61	0.11 ± 0.02
	2003	27	68	2	0-11	2.5 ± 0.48	0.10 ± 0.02
	2001-03		183	2	0-15	2.5 ± 0.31	0.10 ± 0.01
Development	2001	20	43	2	0-9	2.2 ± 0.46	0.09 ± 0.02
	2002	20	54	2	0-10	2.7 ± 0.57	0.11 ± 0.02
	2003	21	76	3	0-11	3.6 ± 0.73	0.14 ± 0.03
	2001-03		173	2	0-11	2.8 ± 0.35	0.11 ± 0.01
Total	2001	40	86	2	0-10	2.2 ± 0.35	0.09 ± 0.01
	2002	46	126	2	0-15	2.7 ± 0.42	0.11 ± 0.02
	2003	48	144	2	0-11	3.0 ± 0.42	0.12 ± 0.02
	2001-03		356	2	0-15	2.7 ± 0.23	0.11 ± 0.01

Table 6. Estimated numbers and densities of adult Tundra Swans in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, August 2001-2003.

Plot Type	Year	Number of Plots	Swans Observed	Area (km^2)	Median swans per plot	Range	Mean swans per plot (± SE)	Density (swans ± SE / km^2)	% Flocked Non-Breeders
Reference	2001	20	254	500	11	1-52	12.7 ± 2.5	0.51 ± 0.10	35.4
	2002	26	356	650	13	0-51	13.7 ± 2.3	0.55 ± 0.09	26.7
	2003	27	349	675	11	0-58	12.9 ± 2.4	0.52 ± 0.10	30.4
	2001-03				12	0-58	13.1 ± 1.4	0.53 ± 0.05	30.3
Development	2001	20	475	500	8	0-156	23.8 ± 8.8	0.95 ± 0.35	63.8
	2002	20	680	500	7	0-183	34.0 ± 12.5	1.36 ± 0.50	74.1
	2003	21	610	525	8	2-196	29.0 ± 11.4	1.16 ± 0.46	72.8
	2001-03				8	0-196	28.9 ± 6.3	1.16 ± 0.25	70.9
Total	2001	40	729	1000	9	0-156	18.2 ± 4.6	0.73 ± 0.18	53.9
	2002	46	1036	1150	12	0-183	22.5 ± 5.7	0.90 ± 0.23	57.8
	2003	48	959	1200	10	0-196	20.0 ± 5.2	0.80 ± 0.21	57.4
	2001-03				10	0-196	20.3 ± 3.0	0.81 ± 0.12	56.6

Table 7. Numbers and densities of indicated pairs of Tundra Swan in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Indicated pairs observed	Area (km^2)	Median pairs per plot	Range	Mean pairs per plot (pairs ± SE)	Density (pairs ± SE / km^2)
Reference	2001	20	82	500	4	1-12	4.1 ± 0.64	0.16 ± 0.03
	2002	26	130.5	650	5	0-15	5.0 ± 0.74	0.20 ± 0.03
	2003	27	121.5	675	5	0-11	4.5 ± 0.63	0.18 ± 0.03
	2001-03		334	1825	5	0-15	4.6 ± 0.39	0.18 ± 0.02
Development	2001	20	86	500	4	0-12	4.3 ± 0.73	0.17 ± 0.03
	2002	20	88	500	3	0-12	4.4 ± 0.82	0.18 ± 0.03
	2003	21	83	525	4	0-13	4.0 ± 0.69	0.16 ± 0.03
	2001-03		257	1525	4	0-13	4.2 ± 0.43	0.17 ± 0.02
Total	2001	40	168	1000	4	0-12	4.2 ± 0.48	0.17 ± 0.02
	2002	46	218.5	1150	4	0-15	4.8 ± 0.55	0.19 ± 0.02
	2003	48	204.5	1200	4	0-13	4.3 ± 0.46	0.17 ± 0.02
	2001-03		591	3350	4	0-15	4.4 ± 0.29	0.18 ± 0.01

Table 8. Numbers and densities of flocked adult Tundra Swans occurring in survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Flocked adults observed	Area (km^2)	Median flocked adults per plot	Range	Mean flocked adults per plot (swans ± SE)	Density (swans ± SE / km^2)
Reference	2001	20	90	500	0	0-29	4.5 ± 1.73	0.18 ± 0.07
	2002	26	95	650	0	0-21	3.7 ± 1.03	0.15 ± 0.04
	2003	27	106	675	0	0-40	3.9 ± 1.62	0.16 ± 0.07
	2001-03				0	0-40	4.0 ± 0.84	0.16 ± 0.03
Development	2001	20	303	500	0	0-140	15.2 ± 8.04	0.61 ± 0.32
	2002	20	504	500	0	0-161	25.2 ± 11.63	1.01 ± 0.47
	2003	21	444	525	0	0-184	21.1 ± 10.57	0.85 ± 0.42
	2001-03				0	0-184	20.5 ± 5.82	0.82 ± 0.23
Total	2001	40	393	1000	0	0-140	9.8 ± 4.15	0.39 ± 0.17
	2002	46	599	1150	0	0-161	13.0 ± 5.26	0.52 ± 0.21
	2003	48	550	1200	0	0-184	11.5 ± 4.81	0.46 ± 0.19
	2001-03				0	0-184	11.5 ± 2.77	0.46 ± 0.11

Table 9. The number of adult and young Tundra Swans in reference and development survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, August, 2001-2003.

Plot type	Year	Number of plots	Young observed	Adults + young observed	Area (km^2)	% young	Median young per plot	Range	Mean young per plot (young ± SE)	Density (young ± SE / km^2)
Reference	2001	20	24	278	500	8.6	0	0-7	1.2 ± 0.50	0.05 ± 0.02
	2002	26	87	443	650	19.6	1	0-15	3.3 ± 0.95	0.13 ± 0.04
	2003	27	61	410	675	14.9	1	0-9	2.3 ± 0.50	0.09 ± 0.02
	2001-03					15.2	0	0-15	2.4 ± 0.42	0.09 ± 0.02
Development	2001	20	28	503	500	5.6	0	0-13	1.4 ± 0.75	0.06 ± 0.03
	2002	20	32	712	500	4.5	1	0-10	1.6 ± 0.55	0.06 ± 0.02
	2003	21	38	648	525	5.9	0	0-9	1.8 ± 0.60	0.07 ± 0.02
	2001-03					5.3	0	0-13	1.6 ± 0.36	0.06 ± 0.01
Total	2001	40	52	781	1000	6.7	0	0-13	1.3 ± 0.45	0.05 ± 0.02
	2002	46	119	1155	1150	10.3	1	0-15	2.6 ± 0.60	0.10 ± 0.02
	2003	48	99	1058	1200	9.4	1	0-9	2.1 ± 0.38	0.08 ± 0.02
	2001-03					9.0	0	0-15	2.0 ± 0.28	0.08 ± 0.01

Table 10. Estimated productivity of Tundra Swans in reference and development survey plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003.

Plot Type	Year	Number of Plots	Indicated Pairs in June	Pairs on Nests	Number of Broods	Density of Broods per plot	Mean Brood Size ±SE	Proportion of indicated pairs nesting	Proportion of nesting pairs with broods	Proportion of indicated pairs with broods
Reference	2001	20	137	43	11	0.02 ± 0.01	2.2 ± 0.18 (n=11)	0.31	0.26	0.08
	2002	26	179.5	72	37	0.06 ± 0.02	2.4 ± 0.17 (n=37)	0.40	0.51	0.21
	2003	27	193	68	35	0.05 ± 0.01	1.7 ± 0.13 (n=35)	0.35	0.51	0.18
	2001-03					0.05 ± 0.01	2.1 ± 0.10 (n=83)	0.36	0.45	0.16
Development	2001	20	125.5	43	11	0.02 ± 0.01	2.5 ± 0.25 (n=11)	0.34	0.26	0.09
	2002	20	139	54	13	0.03 ± 0.01	2.5 ± 0.33 (n=13)	0.39	0.24	0.09
	2003	21	147.5	76	19	0.04 ± 0.01	2.0 ± 0.21 (n=21)	0.52	0.25	0.13
	2001-03					0.03 ± 0.01	2.3 ± 0.15 (n=45)	0.42	0.25	0.10
Total	2001	40	262.5	86	22	0.02 ± 0.01	2.4 ± 0.2 (n=22)	0.33	0.26	0.08
	2002	46	318.5	126	50	0.04 ± 0.01	2.4 ± 0.2 (n=50)	0.40	0.40	0.16
	2003	48	340.5	144	54	0.05 ± 0.01	1.9 ± 0.1 (n=56)	0.42	0.38	0.16
	2001-03					0.04 ± 0.00	2.1 ± 0.08 (n=128)	0.39	0.35	0.14

Table 11. Potential causes of variation in reproductive effort and success of Tundra Swans at reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003, evaluated through three-dimensional contingency tables.

		Maximum Likelihood Analysis of Variance		
Demographic parameter	Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	χ^2	P
Nesting effort - proportion of indicated pairs nesting	Plot Type	1	3.17	0.08
	Year	2	6.64	0.04
	Plot type x year	2	5.30	0.07
Nest success - proportion of nesting pairs successful in producing a brood	Plot Type	1	10.52	0.001
	Year	2	3.66	0.16
	Plot type x year	2	4.42	0.11
Productivity - proportion of indicated pairs producing a brood	Plot Type	1	3.70	0.05
	Year	2	6.64	0.04
	Plot type x year	2	3.32	0.19

Table 12. Precision of untransformed and transformed (log+1) counts of total adults, indicated pairs, nests, flocked adults, broods, and young Tundra Swans in reference and development plots in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-2003.

	Reference						Development					
	Untransformed Data			Transformed Data			Untransformed Data			Transformed Data		
Month/Variable	Mean Swans per plot	Standard Error	CV	Mean Swans per plot	Standard Error	CV	Mean Swans per plot	Standard Error	CV	Mean Swans per plot	Standard Error	CV
June												
Total adults	17.27	2.49	0.14	2.59	0.09	0.03	30.61	7.04	0.23	2.82	0.13	0.04
Indicated pairs	6.98	0.62	0.09	1.90	0.07	0.04	6.75	0.55	0.08	1.90	0.07	0.04
Nests	2.51	0.31	0.12	1.02	0.08	0.08	2.84	0.35	0.12	1.13	0.08	0.07
Adults in flocks	3.32	2.13	0.64	0.43	0.11	0.26	17.10	6.89	0.40	0.86	0.21	0.24
August												
Total adults	13.14	1.37	0.10	2.28	0.11	0.05	28.93	6.28	0.22	2.43	0.17	0.07
Indicated pairs	4.58	0.39	0.09	1.52	0.08	0.05	4.21	0.43	0.10	1.43	0.09	0.06
Broods	1.14	0.18	0.16	0.55	0.07	0.13	0.70	0.15	0.21	0.38	0.07	0.17
Total young	2.36	0.42	0.18	0.77	0.11	0.14	1.61	0.36	0.23	0.58	0.10	0.17
Adults in flocks	3.99	0.84	0.21	0.91	0.13	0.14	20.51	5.82	0.28	1.26	0.22	0.18



Figure 1. Location of 5 x 5 km study plots for Tundra Swan in the Mackenzie Delta region, 2001-03.



Figure 2. The proportion of Tundra Swan indicated pairs nesting (nesting effort) in reference and development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.)



Figure 3. The proportion of Tundra Swan nesting pairs that succeeded in producing a brood (nest success) in reference or development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.)



Figure 4. The proportion of Tundra Swan indicated pairs that succeeded in producing a brood (productivity) in reference and development sites in the Mackenzie Delta region. (Survey plots with fewer than 10 indicated pairs have been excluded from the analysis.)

Appendix A. Criteria used in selecting development study plots in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03[1].

Plot ID #	Latitude (Decimal Minutes)	Longitude (Decimal Minutes)	Year Established	Significant Development Lease	Existing Gas Well	Active Drill Site	Proposed Drill Site	Historic Gas Well	Historic Oil Well	Proposed 3D Seismic Activity 2001	Proposed 2D Seismic Activity 2001	Permanent Exploration Camp	Seismic Exploration Camp
1	69 13.179	-135 4.315	2001									•	
2	69 10.689	-135 16.604	2001					•	•	•			
3	69 19.522	-135 20.795	2001	•				•	•		•		
4	69 22.386	-134 55.826	2001	•				•			•		
6	69 27.701	-134 39.715	2001					•	•		•		
7	69 27.596	-134 24.715	2001								•		•
10	69 22.596	-134 36.959	2001								•		•
11	69 15.703	-134 46.926	2001								•		•
12	69 15.91	-133 37.631	2001								•		•
13	69 18.763	-133 6.022	2001					•	•	•	•		
14	69 29.851	-132 48.507	2001							•	•		
23	68 56.93	-133 31.813	2001	•							•		
25	69 4.723	-135 19.26	2001			•				•	•		
26	69 5.504	-135 6.466	2001	•				•		•	•		
27	69 5.095	-134 39.266	2001	•				•			•		
28	69 6.114	-134 24.058	2001								•	•	
29	68 57.103	-134 55.121	2001								•		•
30	68 57.456	-135 20.111	2001							•	•		
33	68 47.431	-135 8.438	2001							•	•		
37	68 44.636	-134 9.658	2001		•						•		
48	69 0.174	-133 44.363	2003	•							•		
49	68 59.779	-133 32.925	2003	•			•						

[1]Reference plot locations were chosen randomly (with the exception of plot # 47 which was specifically located within Kendall Island Migratory Bird Sanctuary).

Appendix B. Map of criteria used in selecting reference and development study plots for investigating cumulative impacts of natural gas development on Tundra Swans in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-2003.



Appendix C. Results by plot type and year of Shapiro Wilk W Tests for the normality of swan count data in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03. P-values of 0.05 or less represent significant departures from a normal distribution. Tests for both untransformed (raw) and transformed (log+1) data are presented.

	2001				2002				2003			
	Reference		Development		Reference		Development		Reference		Development	
	Raw Data	Transformed Data	Raw Data	Transformed Data	Raw Data	Transformed Data	Raw Data	Transformed Data	Raw Data	Transformed Data	Raw Data	Transformed Data
June	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
Total adults	0.13	0.38	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.24
Indicated pairs	0.01	0.36	0.47	0.29	0.00	0.46	0.12	0.49	0.00	0.97	0.01	0.72
Nests	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.34	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.41
Adults in flocks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
August												
Total adults	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.48	0.90	0.12	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01
Indicated pairs	0.09	0.70	0.17	0.24	0.03	0.47	0.01	0.25	0.17	0.06	0.08	0.60
Broods	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total young	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Adults in flocks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Appendix D. Results of Levene's Test for homogeneity of variances of swan count data in the Mackenzie Delta Region, 2001-03. P-values of 0.05 or less indicate that variances of the six plot-year combinations considered were not all equal. Tests for both untransformed and transformed (log+1) data are presented.

	Untransformed Data		Transformed Data	
Survey/variable	*F*	*P*	*F*	*P*
June				
Total adults	0.93	0.46	0.98	0.43
Indicated pairs	0.67	0.65	0.61	0.69
Nests	0.43	0.83	0.23	0.95
Adults in flocks	0.91	0.47	1.46	0.21
August				
Total adults	2.62	0.03	2.14	0.07
Indicated pairs	0.46	0.80	0.42	0.83
Broods	1.57	0.17	1.57	0.17
Total young	2.30	0.05	1.49	0.20
Adults in flocks	2.54	0.03	2.57	0.03